

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

10/551,711 10/03/2005 21130 7550 0 BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, C ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOC 200 PUBLIC SQUARE		29390-1 EXAM WILLIAMS,			
BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, C ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DO	COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP				
ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DO		WILLIAMS,	MAURICE L		
200 PUBLIC SQUARE			WILLIAMS, MAURICE L		
SUITE 2300		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2378		3611			
			I		
		NOTIFICATION DATE 09/16/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@beneschlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/551,711	HARTLE, KEVIN JOHN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MAURICE WILLIAMS	3611	

	MAURICE WILLIAMS	3611						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CFR 1.3114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is lat no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FIL MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CED 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Application to provide a member of the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s). would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/LESLEY D MORRIS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3611	/MAURICE WILLIAMS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3611							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. Other: Examiner maintains that the 112 rejection of claim 30 is proper, as there is not sufficient support in the disclosure to define the range though to incorporate the term 'large'. Additionally, the specification does not use the term 'large' to define deformations. Examiner also maintains the 103 rejections using the combinations of Pardy and Hess (and Ozeki in further claims), as the sleeve of Hess does deform and the strands would experience friction as the hose deforms.